

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/855,224	05/14/2001	Fermin Viteri	0076.001	9800	
7	590 08/08/2003				
Bradley P. Heisler Heisler & Associates 3017 Douglas Blvd., Suite 300			EXAMINER		
			MEDINA SANABRIA, MARIBEL		
Roseville, CA 95661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1754		
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					m		
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/855,22	4	VITERI ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Maribel M		1754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)⊠ Responsive	e to communication(s) filed on 1	14 May 2001 .					
2a)☐ This action	is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□.	This action is	non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
	23 is/are pending in the applicat						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	is/are rejected. is/are objected to.						
	is/are objected to: 3 are subject to restriction and/	or election rea	uirement				
Application Papers	o are subject to restriction and	or cicolion req	an official.				
9)□ The specifica	tion is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10) The drawing (	s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b)	objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed	drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ ap	oproved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certific	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certific	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)							
Notice of References     Notice of Draftspersor	Cited (PTO-892) n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s		· —	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-	-		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/855,224

Art Unit: 1754

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Election/Restrictions**

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-7, drawn to a gas mixture comprising in combination: between about 40 % and about 80 % CO<sub>2</sub>, between about 10 % and about 50 % H<sub>2</sub>O and between about 10 % and about 30 % O<sub>2</sub>, classified in class 252, subclass 373.
  - II. Claims 8-19, drawn to a gas mixture comprising in combination a reactant portion and a diluent portion, classified in class 252, subclass 372.
  - III. Claims 20-23, drawn to a gas mixture comprising in combination molecular gaseous O<sub>2</sub>, gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> and water vapor, classified in class 252, subclass 373.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects, since the composition of Claim 8 does not require the presence of the components of claim 1 (O<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, and water).
- 3. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects, since the composition of Group II does not require the presence of the components of claim 1 (O<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, and water) in the claimed concentration ranges.

Application/Control Number: 09/855,224

Art Unit: 1754

- 4. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions. In the instant case the different inventions have different effects, since the composition of Claim 8 does not require the presence of the components of claim 20 (O<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, and water).
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II; the search required for Group I is not required for Group III; and the search required for Group III is not required for Group III restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Bradley P. Heisler on 8/1/03 and 8/5/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1754

## **Conclusion**

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maribel Medina whose telephone number is (703) 305-1928.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on (703) 308-3837. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Maribel Medina
Examiner
Art Unit 1754

MM August 6, 2003